

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/518,474	HOOPER, LEON	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen F. Gerrity	3721	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Amended

 (1) Stephen Gerrity (ex.).

(3) _____.

 (2) Ruby Jaine (app. rep.).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 September 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 35 USC 112, 2nd para.

Claims discussed:
 1-28

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner and app. rep. discussed amending the claims by an ex. amdt. to resolve the 35 USC 112, 2nd para. rejection. App. Rep. did not authorize the ex. amdt. and requested a formal Office action

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Stephen F. Gerrity
 Primary Examiner


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)